Email: hts-users@sp.nitech.ac.jp **Title**: Bug Report on ApplyWindow Function in HMGenS

HTS working group,

Thank you for your excellent work on HTS.

I find a moderate bug in ApplyWindow() in HMGenS V2.2 and V2.3-alpha. This bug is about how to calculate dynamics at time t = 1, T, where t is time index, and T is total frame number. The current implementation of ApplyWindow() virtually truncated any involved frame when its index is out of the valid range, $\{1, 2, \dots, T\}$, but such treatment is not proper. However, the same problem does not occur in window.pl in HTS-demo release, as window.pl handles this situation properly.

Analysis

A concreate example will make it clear. The default configuration in HTS-demo release for calculating dynamics is

$$\begin{cases} \Delta^{(0)} \mathbf{c}_{t} = \mathbf{c}_{t} \\ \Delta^{(1)} \mathbf{c}_{t} = -\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{c}_{t-1} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{c}_{t+1} \\ \Delta^{(2)} \mathbf{c}_{t} = \mathbf{c}_{t-1} - 2 \mathbf{c}_{t} + \mathbf{c}_{t+1} \end{cases}$$
(1)

where $t = 1, 2, \dots, T$. Those are the zero-th to the second order central differences.

When calculating $\Delta^{(n)}\mathbf{c}_{t}$, n = 1, 2, t = 1, T, frame indexes t = 0, T + 1 are involved but do not valid, thus some heuristic or a variant of Eq. (1) must be used instead. We simply take $\Delta^{(1)}\mathbf{c}_{1}$ as an illustrative example here, and $\Delta^{(2)}\mathbf{c}_{1}, \Delta^{(1)}\mathbf{c}_{T}, \Delta^{(2)}\mathbf{c}_{T}$ can be analyzed similarly.

Perl scripts window.pl in ./data/scripts in HTS-demo takes heuristics of "using the nearest, valid frame index instead", or "time cut-off method", i.e.,

$$\Delta^{(1)}\mathbf{c}_{1} = -\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{c}_{0} + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{c}_{2} \approx -\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{c}_{1} + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{c}_{2}.$$
 (2)

C Function ApplyWindow() in HMGenS in HTS toolkit takes heuristics of "ignoring any invalid frame", or "zero assumption method", i.e.,

$$\Delta^{(1)}\mathbf{c}_1 = -\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{c}_0 + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{c}_2 \approx \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{c}_2.$$
(3)

Obviously, Eq. (3) gives wrong dynamics. The simplest bug fix is to take the same heuristics used in window.pl in Eq. (2).

Experiment

Essential condition: HMGenS -c 0, GV turned off.

The following "probByFrame", $b_{a}(\mathbf{o}_{t})$, is output in function OutProb() in HGen.c

Before fixed:

```
The following probByFrame is incorrect when t = 1, T.
Generating Label CASIA_ZXY_00001.lab
Total number of frames = 640
 PdfStream[1]: 640 frames
 PdfStream[2]: 247 frames
t =
       1, probByFrame = -8.958799e+005
t =
       2, probByFrame = 3.871157e+002
       3, probByFrame = 3.650192e+002
t =
t =
       4, probByFrame = 3.734155e+002
       5, probByFrame = 3.748257e+002
t =
. . .
t = 636, probByFrame = 3.751290e+002
t = 637, probByFrame = 3.749175e+002
t = 638, probByFrame = 3.566011e+002
t = 639, probByFrame = 3.330691e+002
t = 640, probByFrame = -2.084838e+005
```

After fixed:

The following probByFrame are corrected when t = 1, T.

t	=	1,	probByFrame	=	3.447705e+002
t	=	2,	probByFrame	=	3.871157e+002
t	=	3,	probByFrame	=	3.650192e+002
t	=	4,	probByFrame	=	3.734155e+002
t	=	5,	probByFrame	=	3.748257e+002
t	=	636,	probByFrame	=	3.751290e+002
t	=	637,	probByFrame	=	3.749175e+002
t	=	638,	probByFrame	=	3.566011e+002
t	=	639,	probByFrame	=	3.330691e+002
t	=	640,	probByFrame	=	3.756874e+002

Implementation

This report and my bug fix are supplied in attachment for reference.

Discussion

Please let me know if I am not correct. Thank you very much!

Yang Wang Email: yangwang@nlpr.ia.ac.cn